

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

**16.09.2009**

**Present: Ms. Prem Lata Bansal, Advocate with Mr. Paras Chaudhary, Advocate**

**and Ms. Anshul Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.**

**Mr. Ajay Vohra, Advocate with Ms. Kavita Jha, Advocate, Ms. Aakansha**

**Aggarwal and Mr. Sriram Krishnan, Advocate for the respondent.**

**I.T.A. No. 844/2009 CIT Vs Turag Marketing**

**The question sought to be raised in this appeal is as to whether supply of outsourced manufactured goods by the contract manufacturer**

**would be treated as sale of goods or works contract of goods. The Income Tax**

**Appellate Tribunal had held it as a sale of goods and therefore it has opined**

**that there was no need for deduction of tax at source under Section 194C of the**

**Income Tax Act. This issue is squarely covered against the revenue by the**

**judgment of this Court in CIT Vs. Reebok India 221 CTR 508.**

**Therefore,**

**following the judgment, this appeal is dismissed.**

**A.K.SIKRI, J**

**VALMIKI J.MEHTA, J**

**September 16, 2009**

**Ne**

**# 8**