ITEM NO.30 COURT NO.5 SECTION IIIA

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2009 CC 4159/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 05/05/2008 in ITA No.287/2008 of the HIGH COURT OF CALCUTTA)

C.I.T., CALCUTTA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

PCBL INDUSTRIAL FINANCE LTD. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 02/04/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

For Petitioner(s) Mr. V. Shekhar, Sr.Adv. Mr. Amey Nargolkar, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

ORDER

Issue notice as to why the matter should not be remitted to the High Court particularly when an important question of law arises. It may be noted that there is conflict of opinions on the interpretation of Section 14-A of the Income Tax Act.

Dasti granted.

(N. ANNAPURNA) (MADHU SAXENA) COURT MASTER COURT MASTER

.

ITEM NO.23 COURT NO.3 SECTION IIIA

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2009 CC 3988/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 21/09/2007 in TA No.31/2007 of the HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL)

C.I.T., UTTARAKHAND Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S.SAIPEM SPA,ITALY Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 06/04/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

For Petitioner(s) Mr. V. Shekhar, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Dismissed.

(N. ANNAPURNA) (MADHU SAXENA) COURT MASTER COURT MASTER

ı

ITEM NO.7 COURT NO.5 SECTION IIIA

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...CC 3728/2009

(From the judgment and order dated 07/08/2008 in ITA No. 96/2002 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI)

C.I.T., DELHI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NESTLE INDIA LTD. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 02/04/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Parag Tripathi, ASG.

Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.

Mr. Varun Sarin, Adv.

Mr. Amey Nargolkar, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ajay Vohra, Adv.

Ms. Kavita Jha, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep S. Karhail, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Delay condoned. Dismissed.

(S. Thapar) (Madhu Saxena) PS to Registrar Court Master

.

ITEM NO.21 COURT NO.5 SECTION IIIA

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...CC 4024/2009

(From the judgment and order dated 17/12/2007 in TA No. 1318/2007 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI)

DIRECTOR OF INCOME TAX Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

BANK OF TOKYO MITSUBISHI LTD. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and office report)

Date: 02/04/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Navin Prakash, Adv.

Mr. D.L. Chidananda, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ajay Vohra, Adv.

Ms. Kavita Jha, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep S. Karhail, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Dismissed.

(S. Thapar) (Madhu Saxena) PS to Registrar Court Master .

.

ITEM NO.10 COURT NO.3 SECTION IIIA

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2009 CC 3892/2009

(From the judgement and order dated 30/01/2008 in TC No. 78/2001 of the HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM)

C.I.T-I, KERALA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S WESTERN INDIA PLYWOOD LTD. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP)

Date: 06/04/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H. KAPADIA HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM For Petitioner(s) Mr. K. Radhakrishnan, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Rupesh Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following ORDER

Issue notice.

In this case, the assessee had obtained refund of excise duty

against bank guarantee. It had utilised this amount under Section

28 of the Income Tax Act. The High Court has not taken into

account the scope of Section 41(1) of the Income Tax Act. Hence,

notice.

(N. ANNAPURNA) (MADHU SAXENA) COURT MASTER COURT MASTER