ANALYSIS OF REVERSE CHARGE MECHANISM IN RESPECT OF MANPOWER SUPPLY SERVICES OR SECURITY SERVICES

(Before proceeding further please read the disclaimer at the bottom of this write up)

Now after introduction of negative list approach, in respect of above services, service tax is payable by both the service provider as well as services receiver. However it is not applicable in all cases. This article will clarify that when services tax is payable by both the service provider as well as services receiver and when it is payable by service provider only.

As per sub clause (v) of clause (I) of NN-30/2012 ST dated 20.06.2012 read with s.no. 8 of Table of NN-30/2012 ST dated 20.06.2012, we can conclude as under:

TABLE SHOWING EXTENT OF SERVICE TAX PAYABLE BY SERVICE PROVIDER AND SERVICE RECIVER:

S.	SERVICE	SERVIVE	EXTENT OF SERVICE TAX	
NO.	PROVIDER	RECEIVER	PAYABLE BY	
			SERVICE	SERVICE
			PROVIDER	RECEIVER
1	Any individual, HUF	DO	100%	NIL
	or partnership firm			
	or association of			
	persons			
2	Any individual, HUF	Body Corporate	25%	75%
	or partnership firm			
	or association of			
	persons			
3	Body Corporate	Any individual, HUF	100%	NIL
		or partnership firm		
		or association of		

		persons		
4	Body Corporate	Body Corporate	100%	NIL

Thus it is clear from above table that only in one case 75% service tax is payable by service receiver and not in all cases i.e. when service provider is any individual etc and service receiver is body corporate.

RELEVANT DEFINITIONS

- "supply of manpower" means supply of manpower, temporarily or otherwise, to another person to work under his superintendence or control."
- "security services" means services relating to the security of any property, whether movable or immovable, or of any person, in any manner and includes the services of investigation, detection or verification, of any fact or activity;"

I hope above article is useful for you. Your valuable feedback in respect of same would be highly appreciated.

(Disclaimer: The above analysis has been drafted as per various provisions of Finance Act 1994, and notifications and circulars issued thereunder. The analysis may not be entirely correct for reader to reader due to different interpretations by different readers. The readers are advised to take into the consideration the prevailing legal position before acting on any of the comments in this reply. We shall not be responsible for any loss caused based on this interpretation.)

With Warm Regards,

CA. Puneet Goyal

Co-Moderator (Indirect Tax)

Voice of CA

capuneetgoyal.delhi@gmail.com

Mobile: 9211274295, 9711988362