

% 07.04.2011

Present: Mr. P.C. Yadav with Mr. Pradeep Kumar Yadav, Advs. for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

+ ITA No.564/2011

*

Admit.

The following questions of law are raised for consideration:

- "a) Whether the ITAT was correct in law and on facts in affirming the order of the CIT (A) without considering the provisions of Section 205 and other provisions of Section Chapter-XVII?
- b) Whether the ITAT is correct in law and on facts in sustaining the demand of income tax to the tune of `6,17,833/- and charging of interest under Section 234A, 234B and 234C without appreciating that credit of TDS to the tune of `25,96,029/- was available to the assessee and there was no default of the assessee?"

Filing of paper book is dispensed with.

HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT
NEW DELHI

A.K. SIKRI, J.

CASE NO. ITA 564/11

Perfect Copy

M.L. MEHTA, J.

DEFENDANT(S)
APPELLANT(S)

APRIL 07, 2011

VERSUS

pmc

Copy of Income Tax
RESPONDENT(S)

DIGITALLY SIGNED DATA

pmc

Certified to be True Copy



Examiner Judicial Department
High Court of Delhi
Authorized Under Section 70
of Indian Evidence Act,

*Original Order handed over to Ajay Kumar on 3/5/2011
Ajay Kumar Dyal
03/05/2011*