Cancellation of registration without providing opportunity of hearing is cryptic in nature

The Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in Mahendra Junabhai Zala v. Office of Deputy Commissioner,

State Tax [R/Special Civil Application No. 13597 of 2022, dated November 25, 2022] has held

that Show Cause Notice ("SCN") and order for cancellation of Goods and Service Tax ("GST")

Registration is not sustainable on the grounds that the order was passed without any personal

hearing and thus, cryptic in nature. Further directed the Revenue Department to restore the

GST registration of the assessee.

Facts:

Mahendra Junabhai Zala ("the Petitioner") is a sole proprietor and a small-scale contractor in

construction business. The Petitioner has challenged the action of the Office of the Deputy

Commissioner, State Tax ("the Respondent") essentially on the ground of the violation of

principle of natural justice. The Respondent issued an SCN on May 15, 2018 following the order

cancelling the GST Registration of the Petitioner on August 13, 2018 ("the Impugned Order").

The request of the Petitioner for restoration of cancellation of the registration was rejected on

November 26, 2021 on the ground that there was a huge delay of four years in challenging the

SCN and the Impugned Order and the time period of appeal was also over.

The Petitioner contended that, being from a rural background is unfamiliar with technology,

the Petitioner had hired a local accountant who failed to file the GST returns. Moreover, it is

only after the passing away of the accountant on May 06, 2021, the Petitioner realised that

the GST returns were not filed. The Petitioner was unaware of the SCN updated on a GST

portal.

Issue:

Whether there was a violation of the principle of natural justice while issuing the SCN and the

Impugned Order to the Petitioner?

Held:

The Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in R/Special Civil Application No. 13597 of 2022 held as under:

- Stated that, the SCN and the Impugned Order were very cryptic and is in a violation of the
  principle of natural justice as the concerned person will have no opportunity to deal with
  the matter as otherwise required. The very purpose of issuance of SCN is to avail an
  opportunity to the parties and if the matter can be addressed at that stage, the very
  purpose of the notice gets frustrated once there is such cryptic notice.
- Relied on the of the Hon'ble High Court of Gujarat in Aggarwal Dyeing and Printing Works
   vs. State of Gujarat and others [[2022] 92 GST 82 (Gujarat)] and opined that it is important
   for the proper officer empowered to adhere to the principles of natural justice.
- Opined that, it is settled legal position of law that reasons are heart and soul of the order and non-communication of same itself amounts to denial of reasonable opportunity of hearing, resulting in miscarriage of justice.
- Held that, the SCN and the Impugned Order shall be interfered with on the very basis of hollow foundation and being cryptic in nature and on the ground of violation of principles of natural justice.
- Quashed the Impugned Order and the SCN.
- Directed the Respondent to restore the GST registration of the Petitioner and imposed a cost of INR 25,000/- on the Respondent.
- Permitted the Respondent to issue a fresh SCN with reasons for such SCN mentioned.
   Further directed the Respondent to provide reasonable opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner.

(Author can be reached at <a href="mailto:info@a2ztaxcorp.com">info@a2ztaxcorp.com</a>)

DISCLAIMER: The views expressed are strictly of the author and A2Z Taxcorp LLP. The contents of this article are solely for informational purpose and for the reader's personal non-commercial use. It does not constitute professional advice or recommendation of firm. Neither the author nor firm and its affiliates accepts any liabilities for any loss or damage of any kind arising out of any information in this article nor for any actions taken in reliance

thereon. Further, no portion of our article or newsletter should be used for any purpose(s) unless authorized in writing and we reserve a legal right for any infringement on usage of our article or newsletter without prior permission.