## Printed from THE TIMES OF INDIA

## High Court orders tax refund of over Rs 1k crore to Voda Idea

TNN | Nov 9, 2023, 07.30 AM IST



MUMBAI: The Bombay high court on Wednesday directed I-T authorities to refund Vodafone Idea Rs 1,128 crore paid in taxes in 2016-17, holding an assessment order against the telecom major "unsustainable and time-barred".

On August 31, 2023, an assessing officer passed an order against Vodafone Idea, two years after a dispute resolution panel (DRP) issued directions to the company. The order was issued under the faceless assessment system, which seeks to eliminate the human interface between taxpayers and the I-T department. Vodafone Idea had contested that the assessing officer had failed to pass the final order in the prescribed period.

A division bench of Justices K R Shriram and Neela Gokhale also

recommended an inquiry against the assessing officer for "failure to abide by the legal mandate and the lack of diligence on the part of officials concerned". "Strict action should be taken against persons responsible for the laxity and lethargy displayed which has caused a huge loss to the exchequer and, in turn, to citizens of this country," said the judgment, directing that a copy of the order be given to CBDT and principal secretary of the Union finance ministry. The court directed the process to be completed in 30 days.

## 'I-T Dept Failed To Follow Procedure'

Dec 29, 2019   I-T assessing officer		June 8, 2023   Vodafone Idea	
passed a draft order		petitions the HC against the	
Jan 27, 2020   Vodafone		central processing centre,	
Idea files an objection		Bengaluru, and the assistant	
before the dispute		commissioner of I-T,	
resolution panel (DRP) on		questioning the failure to	
January 27, 2020		refund excess tax amount	
March 25, 2021   The DRP issues		August 31, 2023   Assessing	
directions, which are uploaded		officer passes an order against	
on the I-T business application		Vodafone Idea under faceless	
portal on the same day		assessment system	
VI's contention	I-T dept's defence		Court's view
The assessing officer	The assessing officer		It held that "failure
failed to pass the	received the 2021		on the part of the
final order in terms of	order on August 23,		department to
the directions of DRP	2023, in the "case		follow the procedure
within the prescribed	history noting" and		is not merely an
30 days, thus it is	then within a month		irregularity, but is
entitled to a refund	passed the order		an illegality

Vodafone Idea had on June 8, 2023, petitioned the HC against the central processing centre, Bengaluru, and the assistant commissioner of income-tax, questioning the failure to refund excess tax amount on income. The assessing officer passed a draft order in this case on December 29, 2019, against which the company filed an objection before the DRP on January 27, 2020. On March 25, 2021, the DRP issued directions which were uploaded the same day on the income tax business application (ITBA) portal.

Vodafone Idea contested that the assessing officer failed to pass the final order in terms of the directions of DRP within 30 days, the period prescribed under Section 144C(13) of the Act, and consequently said it is entitled to refund with interest. The company's senior counsel, J D Mistri, said once a DRP order is uploaded and is available when no order is passed in a month, the income declared by Vodafone Idea is deemed to be accepted by the I-T department, and the petitioner, entitled to a refund of excess tax.

After the petition was filed, the assessing officer passed its order on August 31, 2023, the HC noted. Defending the actions of the department, advocate Devvrat Singh said the assessing officer received the 2021 order on August 23, 2023, in the "case history noting" and then within a month passed the order.

The HC, after analysing the law and the assessment proceeding scheme under the e-assessment scheme, said, "Any notice, summons, or order is deemed to have been received by the assessing officer once it is available to the NeAC (National e-Assessment Centre)." The HC held that "failure on the part of the department to follow the procedure under Section 144C of the Act is not merely a procedural irregularity, but is an illegality and vitiates the entire proceeding".