Mere change of opinion does not provide jurisdiction for re-opening of assessment

The Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Survival Technologies Pvt. Ltd. v. the Deputy Commissioner

of Income Tax [Writ Petition No. 3035 of 2022 dated February 20, 2023] has set aside the

notice issued under Section 148 of the Income Tax Act ("the IT Act") seeking to reopen the

assessment of the assessee and the consequential order rejecting the objections of the

assessee for reopening such assessment proceedings, on the grounds that the notice was

issued without any tangible material with the Revenue Department. Held that, mere change

of opinion does not provide jurisdiction to the Revenue Department to re-open assessment.

Facts:

Survival Technologies Pvt. Ltd. ("the Petitioner") filed its return of income for the Assessment

Year ("A.Y.") 2015-16 which was subsequently selected for scrutiny assessment and notices

were issued under Section 143(2) of the IT Act on March 17, 2016 and under Section 142(1) of

the IT Act on January 23, 2017 by the Revenue Department ("the Respondent"). The Petitioner

furnished necessary information and details pursuant to such notices including its claim for

deduction under Section 35(2AB) of the IT Act.

Subsequently, an order of assessment dated June 13, 2017 was passed under Section 143(3)

of the IT Act, assessing the total income at INR 8,48,00,190/-, by disallowing INR 32,70,724/-

being excess deduction claimed under Section 35(2AB) of the IT Act. However, the

disallowance was reduced to INR 16,35,262/- as per rectification order dated June 23, 2017

passed under Section 154 of the IT Act.

Thereafter, a Notice dated March 30, 2021 ("the Impugned Notice") was issued under Section

148 of the IT Act seeking to reopen assessment for the A.Y. 2015-16 on the ground that income

chargeable to tax had escaped assessment. The Petitioner objected such proposed reopening

of assessment but the objections were rejected vide Order dated February 21, 2022 ("the

Impugned Order").

Being aggrieved, this petition has been filed.

<u>lssue:</u>

Whether the reassessment proceedings could be initiated on account of change of opinion,

after the expiry of period of four years unless any income chargeable to tax has escaped

assessment?

<u>Held:</u>

The Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Writ Petition No. 3035 of 2022 held as under:

• Analyzed Section 147 of the IT Act which empowers the Assessing Officer ("AO") to assess

or re-assess an income if it has reasons to believe that such income has escaped

assessment, however, no action shall be taken after the expiry of period of four years from

the end of the relevant assessment year unless any income chargeable to tax has escaped

assessment.

• Observed that, since this is a case of reopening beyond the period of four years, the

Respondent had to satisfy the jurisdictional conditions on both counts, i.e. 'reason to

believe' and 'failure to disclose fully and truly the material facts'. Further, it is a settled

principle of law that the jurisdiction exercised under Section 147 of the IT Act by an AO has

to be tested on the touchstone of the reasons recorded, which can neither be improved

subsequently nor added in the reply or in the subsequent pleadings.

Relied on the earlier judgment in Hindustan Lever Ltd. v. Rb. Wadkar, Assistant

Commissioner of Income-Tax and Others [(2004) 268 ITR 332 dated February 25, 2004]

wherein, the AO was obliged to disclose as to which fact or material was not disclosed by

the assessee fully and truly, for the purposes of assessment of that A.Y., so as to establish

a vital linkage between the reasons and the evidence while noting that, the jurisdictional

condition has not been satisfied by the Respondent, except having made a bald statement

that the material facts were not disclosed fully and truly.

• Opined that, the Respondent has failed to establish that there was any failure on the part

of the Petitioner to disclose fully and truly any material fact.

• Further observed that, the Impugned Notice has been issued without there being any

tangible material with the Respondent as he clearly relied upon the material which was

already on record.

• Relied on the judgment of Hon'ble Delhi High Court in Jindal Photo Films Ltd. v. Deputy

Commissioner of Income-Tax and Another [(1998) 234 ITR 170 dated May 28, 1998]

wherein, it was held that, if materials for reason to believe is available, the writ court will

not exercise its power of judicial review to go into the sufficiency or adequacy of the

material available.

Held that, mere 'change of opinion' does not provide jurisdiction to the Respondent to

initiate proceedings under Section 147 of the IT Act.

Set aside the Impugned Notice and Impugned Order.

Our comments:

The above judgment brings up a significant issue concerning whether the assessment

proceedings could be initiated merely on the ground of change of opinion.

Recently, a similar view was taken up by the Hon'ble Bombay High Court in Court in the

matter of Punia Capital Pvt. Ltd. v. the Assistant Commissioner of Income Tax and Ors.

[Writ Petition No.1091 of 2022 dated February 15, 2023] wherein, it was held that, the

Revenue Department could only re-open an assessment beyond four years, if there was

a failure on the part of the assessee to disclose material facts fully and truly and not on

the basis of "reason to believe" without satisfying the jurisdictional condition required

under the provisions of Section 147 of the IT Act.

Relevant Provisions:

Section 147 of the IT Act:

"Income escaping assessment.

If any income chargeable to tax, in the case of an assessee, has escaped assessment for

any assessment year, the Assessing Officer may, subject to the provisions of sections

148 to 153, assess or reassess such income or recompute the loss or the depreciation

allowance or any other allowance or deduction for such assessment year (hereafter in

this section and in sections 148 to 153 referred to as the relevant assessment year).

Explanation-For the purposes of assessment or reassessment or re-computation under

this section, the Assessing Officer may assess or reassess the income in respect of any

issue, which has escaped assessment, and such issue comes to his notice subsequently

in the course of the proceedings under this section, irrespective of the fact that the

provisions of section 148A have not been complied with."

(Author can be reached at info@a2ztaxcorp.com)

DISCLAIMER: The views expressed are strictly of the author and A2Z Taxcorp LLP. The

contents of this article are solely for informational purpose and for the reader's personal

non-commercial use. It does not constitute professional advice or recommendation of firm.

Neither the author nor firm and its affiliates accepts any liabilities for any loss or damage of

any kind arising out of any information in this article nor for any actions taken in reliance

thereon. Further, no portion of our article or newsletter should be used for any purpose(s) unless authorized in writing and we reserve a legal right for any infringement on usage of our article or newsletter without prior permission.