Reply filed by Assessee be taken into consideration while passing Impugned Order

The Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of M/s. Chennai Silks v. The Assistant

Commissioner, Tirupur [W.P. No. 29095 of 2023 dated October 12, 2023] allowed the Writ

Petition and held that the Revenue Department has erred in passing the Assessment order

without taking into consideration the reply filed by the assessee, thereby setting aside the

Impugned Assessment Order and directing the Revenue Department to pass a detailed order

after taking into consideration the reply filed by the Petitioner.

Facts:

M/s. Chennai Silks ("the Petitioner") was issued an intimation in Form DRC-01A dated

November 23, 2021, by the Revenue Department ("the Respondent") with respect to

ascertained tax liability under Section 74 of the Central Goods and Services Tax Act, 2017 ("the

CGST Act") for which the reply dated January 17, 2022 and February 02, 2022 ("the Reply")

was filed by the Petitioner. Thereafter, the Show Cause Notice dated April 17, 2023, was issued

and the date was fixed for personal hearing on which the statement of replies was recorded

by the Respondent. Thereafter, the Respondent passed an order dated July 04, 2023 ("the

Impugned Order") against the Petitioner.

Aggrieved by the Impugned Order, the Petitioner filed a writ petition before the Hon'ble

Madras High Court on the ground that the Respondent has not taken into consideration the

reply filed by the Petitioner and has passed a non-speaking order.

<u>lssue:</u>

Whether the Revenue Department erred in passing the Assessment order without taking into

consideration the reply filed by the Assessee?

<u>Held:</u>

The Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case W.P. No. 29095 of 2023 held as under:

Observed that, though the Appellate Authority has the power of Assessing Officer to make

an assessment by providing opportunity for personal hearing and by taking reply into

consideration, however, the said order passed cannot be equated with the order passed

by the Assessing Officer who would pass the order after taking into consideration the

reply/objection and evidence provided by the Petitioner pursuant to the SCN. Thereby, the

Petitioner would lose the opinion of the Assessing Officer, to which the Petitioner is legally

entitled under the provisions of law.

Noted that, once the Assessee has filed the reply/objections to the SCN issued, the

Assessing Officer is bound to pass the speaking order providing reasons for rejection of the

reply/objections raised by the Assessee. It would cause prejudice to the Assessee and huge

loss to the revenue if cryptic orders are passed without taking into consideration the

queries/contentions of the Assessee.

Held that, the Impugned Order is set aside and directed the Respondent Assessing Officer

to pass a detailed order after taking into consideration the reply filed by the Petitioner.

Hence, the writ petition is allowed.

(Author can be reached at info@a2ztaxcorp.com)

DISCLAIMER: The views expressed are strictly of the author and A2Z Taxcorp LLP. The

contents of this article are solely for informational purpose and for the reader's personal

non-commercial use. It does not constitute professional advice or recommendation of firm.

Neither the author nor firm and its affiliates accepts any liabilities for any loss or damage of

any kind arising out of any information in this article nor for any actions taken in reliance

thereon. Further, no portion of our article or newsletter should be used for any purpose(s)

unless authorized in writing and we reserve a legal right for any infringement on usage of

our article or newsletter without prior permission.